

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/003,308 12/06/2001 Solgun Drevik 112057 4803 **EXAMINER** 466 7590 11/01/2005 YOUNG & THOMPSON KIDWELL, MICHELE M 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202 3761

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Tala
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,308	DREVIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michele Kidwell	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set of the se	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 19 August 2005.		
•	b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition f	•	ters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practic			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-16</u> is/are pendi 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-11,13,14 and 16 is/are rej	ected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objec			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority of			
3. Copies of the certified copies of		n received in this National S	stage
• •	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P° 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/003,308

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansson et al. (WO 96/20669).

With respect to claim 1, Hansson et al. (hereinafter "Hansson") discloses an absorbent article which as a substantially elongated shape with a longitudinal direction and a transverse direction and exhibits two side edges, a front edge and a rear edge, a front portion and a rear portion, and a central potion situated between the front portion and the rear portion (figure 1), said article furthermore exhibits a liquid pervious cover layer and a liquid impervious cover layer and an absorbent body (page 5, lines 21 – 32) wherein the rear portion of the absorbent body comprises a layer (6) that is split into a substantially Y-shaped body having a first leg and a second leg with a gap between the legs where an angle is defined between the first leg and the second leg (page 7, lines 25 – 29) wherein an elastic member (5) is placed between the first leg and the second leg which elastic member said elastic member essentially extends in the longitudinal direction and is in the form of a band as set forth on page 9, lines 1 – 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,308

Art Unit: 3761

The examiner contends that a band is merely a strip of material and that the elastic member (5) of Hansson may be considered as a band.

As to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 1 and page 10, line 26 to page 11, line 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 – 11 and 13 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansson et al. (WO 96/20669).

With reference to claim 2, the applicant is reminded that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The examiner contends that the Hansson reference is fully capable of bending the rear portion in a substantially parabolic shape since it too has an elastic member meeting the claimed limitations and is fully capable of performing the recited function.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,308 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

As to claims 3 and 4, see page 9, lines 18 – 19.

With reference to claim 5, see page 9, lines 32 – 34.

Regarding claim 6, see page 7, lines 21 - 25.

With reference to claims 7 – 11, absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

As to claims 13 and 14, see figures 1-2.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

With respect to the applicant's argument regarding claim 16, the examiner contends that Hansson discloses a sanitary napkin that will adopt different shapes during use (page 10, lines 26 – 30) which would allow the to some extent separation at the slit (14, 22 and/or 23). The angle can be defined as any measurement of the spacing between any or all of the angles with the first and second leg being considered as the outermost left and right side edges. Likewise, the measured angle can be considered as increasing if any angle is measured greater than the angle originally measured. Alternatively, Hansson discloses that the central slit can extend with two divergent slits (page 11, line 36 to page 12, line 5) which would also provide a similar situation.

Art Unit: 3761

With reference to the applicant's argument regarding claim 2, parabolic is defined as substantially bowl shaped. As noted by the applicant, Hansson provides an article that can reasonably be provided as C-shaped (page 12, 2nd paragraph of applicant's remarks filed August 19, 2005). The examiner contends that a C-shaped article is substantially bowl shaped – i.e. substantially parabolic.

With respect to claim 7, see the examiner's comments addressing the applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 15 is allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/003,308

Art Unit: 3761

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCMULA WELL
Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761

Page 6